

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/822,581	04/12/2004	Robert Burgmeier	S63.2-10865-US01	2645
490 75	590 02/14/2006	EXAMINER		
	ETT & STEINKRAUS,	TRAN, THAO T		
6109 BLUE CI SUITE 2000	RCLE DRIVE	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
MINNETONKA, MN 55343-9185			1711	
			D. TT \ (.) I ED 02(14000)	,

DATE MAILED: 02/14/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			W			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	<i></i>			
	10/822,581	BURGMEIER ET AL	- -			
	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Thao T. Tran	1711				
6	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress			
0	APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of owing replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which lotice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or oliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the					
)	of the final rejection. Arisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no stan SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO					
i	f). n which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 tatutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) as after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any					
expliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).						
, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because onsideration and/or search (see NOTE below); ow);						
	tter form for appeal by materially re	educing or simplifying	the issues for			
a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.						
	121. See attached Notice of Non-Cos):	ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).			
	allowable if submitted in a separate	, timely filed amendm	nent canceling			
	will not be entered, or b) worlded below or appended.	vill be entered and an	explanation of			
	out before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will <u>not</u> be entered nd sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary					
	g a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a					

Advisory Action

Advisory Action	10/822,581 BURGINEIER ET AL.		••			
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit				
·	Thao T. Tran	1711				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED 31 January 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	APPLICATION IN CONDITION FO	R ALLOWANCE.				
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or o this application, applicant must timely file one of the folloplaces the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in comp following time periods: 	The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:					
	a) \square The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection.					
event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the	period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no nt, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. The miner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO					
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f	MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).					
extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any example patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
NOTICE OF APPEAL						
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).						
AMENDMENTS						
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);						
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or						
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.						
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		ompliant Amendment	(DTOL 324)			
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s		omphant Amendment	(F10L-324).			
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a		timely filed amendm	ent canceling			
the non-allowable claim(s).			_			
7. ☐ For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) ☐ will not be entered, or b) ☐ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-13 and 30-44</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE						
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, b	ut before or on the date of filing a N	Notice of Appeal will n	of be entered			
because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	nd sufficient reasons why the affida	vit or other evidence i	s necessary			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe ry and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ils to provide a 1).			
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	on of the status of the claims after e	entry is below or attac	hed.			
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.						
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s)						
13. Other:						

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The Final rejection still stands. The proposed amendments overcome the 102 rejection over Wang '969 or Samuelson '683, but not the 102 rejection over Boer '358 and 103 rejections.

THAOT. THAN
PATENT EXAMINER

Theo Train